PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU
PCT	То:
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION (PCT Rule 61.2)	United States Patent and Trademark Office (Box PCT) Crystal Plaza 2 Washington, DC 20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 06 April 1999 (06.04.99)	in its capacity as elected Office
International application No. PCT/US98/10685	Applicant's or agent's file reference 75844
International filing date (day/month/year) 27 May 1998 (27.05.98)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27 May 1997 (27.05.97)
Applicant MITCHELL, James, B. et al	
in the demand filed with the International Preliminary 18 December in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Preliminary 2. The election X was	1998 (18.12.98)
was not	
made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority (Rule 32.2(b).	date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under
	Authorized officer

Form PCT/IB/331 (July 1992)

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Sean Taylor

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	1 5 OCT 1999
WIPC	PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

18

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicants	or age	nt's file reference	FOR FURTUER ACTION		ation of Transmittal of International	
75844			FOR FURTHER ACTION	Preliminary	Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
Internationa	l appli	cation No.	International filing date (day/month	n/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US9	8/10	685	27/05/1998		27/05/1997	
Internationa A61K33/0		nt Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC			
Applicant						
THE GOV	/ERN	MENT OF THE UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA, 1	re		
		ational preliminary exami mitted to the applicant a		d by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examining Authority	
2. This P	REPO	RT consists of a total of	6 sheets, including this cover s	heet.		
b	een a	mended and are the bas	d by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the sis for this report and/or sheets of the Administrative Instructi	containing re	n, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority ne PCT).	
These	These annexes consist of a total of 1 sheets.					
3. This r	eport ⊠	contains indications rela	ating to the following items:			
		Priority			the same	
"	⊠	<u> </u>	ppinion with regard to novelty, in	ventive step	and industrial applicability	
l ;;		Lack of unity of invention			., .	
V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations suporting such statement					entive step or industrial applicability;	
VI		Certain documents cit	ed			
VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application			
VIII	×	Certain observations o	n the international application			
Date of sub	missi	on of the demand	Date of	completion of	•	
18/12/19	98				1 3. 10. 99	
	exam	g address of the internation ining authority:	al Authori	zed officer	LILE MAN DES MAN DELLES	
91		opean Patent Office 0298 Munich	Isert,	В	(we will be a second of the se	
<u> </u>		+49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365 : +49 89 2399 - 4465	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	one No. +49 8	9 2399 8691	
			. e.ep			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US98/10685

 Basis of the report 	Bas	sis o	f the	rep	ort
---	-----	-------	-------	-----	-----

١

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in

			on under Article 14 are referre lo not contain amendments.):	d to in this repo	nt as "originally til	led and are not annexed to
	Des	cription, pages:				
	1,3-	17	as originally filed			
	2		as received on	20/08/1999	with letter of	20/08/1999
	Cla	ims, No.:				
	1-27	7	as originally filed			
	Dra	wings, sheets:			•	
	1/2,	2/2	as originally filed			
2.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the cancellation o	of:		
		the description,	pages:		•	
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
3.		This report has be considered to go	een established as if (some of) beyond the disclosure as filed	the amendme (Rule 70.2(c)):	nts had not been	made, since they have ben
4.	Add	ditional observation	ns, if necessary:			·
111.	. No	n-establishment c	of opinion with regard to nov	elty, inventive	step and indust	rial applicability
Tł or	ne qu to b	uestions whether the industrially applic	ne claimed invention appears to cable have not been examined	o be novel, to in I in respect of:	nvolve an inventiv	ve step (to be non-obvious),
		the entire internat	tional application.			
	X	claims Nos 1-27	in nart		• .	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/US98/10685

h	^	_	_		S	^	
U	е	u	a	u	5	u	

•

\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-27 (for industrial applicability) relate to the
	following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-27 in part.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-27

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-27

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-27

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

s e separate sh t

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

SECTION III

Claims 1-27 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

SECTION V:

1). The present report solely concerns the use of the compound Tempol in accordance with the worked examples, and the general idea underlying the application that is the use of nitroxide in the treatment of cancer. See also Box III (Sheets 1-2), and the International search report.

2). Prior art

The following documents (D) cited in the International search report are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1 = PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 36, no. 0, 1995, page 387

D2 = PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 38, no. 0, March 1977, page 193

D3 = PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 39, no. 0, March 1998, page 90

D4 = WO -A- 96 40127

Unless indicated otherwise reference is made to the relevant passages emphasized in the search report.

2.1 D3 is an intermediate document which represents the scientific disclosure of the subject- matter of the present application.

3). Novelty:

The subject-matter of the present claims 1-27 is considered novel.

International application No. PCT/US98/10685

The claims 1-27 relate to the use of nitroxide or a prodrug thereof in the treatment of cancer. D1 and D2 differ therefrom basically in that they describe cytotoxic effects of the presently preferred piperidine nitroxide Tempol against cancer cells in vitro.

4). Inventive step

The subject-matter of claims 1-27 is not considered inventive:

The present application relates to the prophylactic and therapeutic treatment of cancer in animals.

On the basis of D1 and D2, which show chemotherapeutic efficacy of Tempol in vitro, the problem of the present application could be to identify possible conditions bringing about an anticancer effect in vivo. As shown in the examples of the present application, the development of cancer could be prevented by continous oral prophylactic administration of Tempol. This particular finding is considered non-obvious from the prior art.

It is noted that the scope of the present claims includes therapeutic cancer treatment as well as various modes/schemes of administration. However, there is no indication in the application which justifies a generalisation of the working examples in this respect. Moreover it appears, that a skilled artisan would expect an anticancer effect of Tempol in vivo, eg., after administration of high doses, as the prior art does not differentiate between in vitro and in vivo effects of nitroxides, either. See page 2, line 18 - page 3, line 7 of the application.

5). Industrial applicability:

For the assessment of the present claims 1-27 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/US98/10685

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

SECTION VIII

6). It is apparently not clear from the description, which cancers originate from a defect of the p53 gene (claims 23,25,27).

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of (Form PCT/ISA/2) ACTION	f Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 98/10685	27/05/1998	27/05/1997
Applicant		·
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA, re	
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth ansmitted to the International Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	of a total of <u>5</u> sheets. y of each prior art document cited in this report.	
1. 区 Certain claims were found un	searchable (see Box I).	
2. Unity of invention is lacking (s	see Box II).	
The international application co international search was carried.	ntains disclosure of a nucleotide and/or amin I out on the basis of the sequence listing	o acid sequence listing and the
	d with the international application.	
furr	nished by the applicant separately from the inte	
	but not accompanied by a statement to the matter going beyond the disclosure in the	e international application as filed.
Tra	inscribed by this Authority	
4. With regard to the title , χ the	text is approved as submitted by the applicant.	
	text has been established by this Authority to r	read as follows:
•		·
5. With regard to the abstract,		
لما	etext is approved as submitted by the applicant etext has been established, according to Rule 3	
□ Bo	x III. The applicant may, within one month from arch Report, submit comments to this Authority	the date of mailing of this International
6. The figure of the drawings to be pub	olished with the abstract is:	
	suggested by the applicant.	X None of the figures.
be	cause the applicant failed to suggest a figure.	
·be	cause this figure better characterizes the invent	tion.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT



Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: - because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
See FORTHER INFORMATION SHEEL FOT/ ISA/ ZIO
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
B x II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report
covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International Application No. PCT/US 98/10685

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

In view of the large number of compounds, which are defined by the general definition in the claims 1-22, the search had to be restricted for economic reasons. The search was limited to the compound(s) for which pharmacological data was given and to the general idea underlying the application. (see PCT Guidelines, Chapter III, paragraph 2.3 & 3.6).

a. classification of subject matter IPC 6 A61K33/00 A61k A61K33/00 A61K31/395 A61K49/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 6 A61K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ' 1-23,25, Х MONTI ET AL.: "Cytotoxicity of Tempol, a piperidine nitroxyde spin label, against different neoplastic and non-neoplastic cell lines" PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 36, no. 0, 1995, page 387 XP002075471 * see abstract no. 2304 * 1-23,25, MONTI ET AL.: "DNA damage and apoptosis Х in human leukemic cells treated with the piperidine nitroxyde Tempol" PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 38, no. 0, March 1977, page 193 XP002075472 * see abstract no. 1298 * -/--Patent family members are listed in annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Χ Χ ° Special categories of cited documents : "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the considered to be of particular relevance invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docucitation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled *P* document published prior to the international filing date but "&" document member of the same patent family later than the priority date claimed Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 1, 09, 98 26 August 1998 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Isert, B Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

3



C.(Continua	tion) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ,	Relevant to claim No.
P,X	MONTI ET AL.: "The piperidine nitroxyde Tempol induced apoptosis and P21-WAF1-CIP1 expression in P53-deficient cells" PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 39, no. 0, March 1998, page 90 XP002075475 * see abstract no. 610 *	1-28
A	WO 96 40127 A (US GOVERNMENT) 19 December 1996 * see in particular claims 1-3; page 10, lines 11-19 *	1-28
	i i	
	·	
	•	

3



Information on patent family members

Inter Phal Application No
PCT/US 98/10685

			•			PCI/US	98/10685	
P: cited	atent document d in search report		Publication date	Pa	atent family nember(s)		Publication date	
WO	9640127	А	19-12-1996	AU	61028	96 A	30-12-1996	
				,				
			·					
	••							
	•							
	i,				:			
	•							
			·					
<u>.</u>	•							
	,							

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY Larcher, Carol LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900 CHICAGO, Illinois 60601-6780 **ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE** CT Rule 71.1) Date of mailing 1 3. 10. 99 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION 75844 International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 27/05/1997 27/05/1998 PCT/US98/10685

Applicant

THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, re

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Heber WW

Tel.+49 89 2399-2152



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicants 75844	or age	ent's file reference	See Notification of Transmittal of International FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
Internation	al appi	ication No.	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US	98/10	0685	27/05/1998	27/05/1997			
Internationa A61K33/		ent Classification (IPC) o	or national classification and IPC				
Annlinana			·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Applicant			NUTED OTATEO OF AMERICA ***				
THE GO	VEH	NMENT OF THE U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA, re				
1. This i	ntern s tran	ational preliminary ex smitted to the applica	camination report has been prepared by this ant according to Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. This	REPO	ORT consists of a total	al of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
ь	een ä	amended and are the	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description basis for this report and/or sheets containing n 607 of the Administrative Instructions under	g rectifications made before this Authority			
These	e ann	exes consist of a tota	al of 1 sheets.				
3. This r	eport	contains indications	relating to the following items:				
	⊠	Basis of the report					
11		Priority	•				
111	\boxtimes	Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability			
IV		Lack of unity of inve	ention				
٧	Ø	Reasoned statement citations and explanations	nt under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, nations suporting such statement	inventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI		Certain documents	cited				
VII		Certain defects in th	ne international application				
V 11							
VIII	' ⊠	Certain observation	s on the international application				
	'⊠	Certain observation	s on the international application				

Date of submission of the demand

18/12/1998

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Date of completion of this report

Authorized officer

Isert, B

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8691

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/10685

in

ı.	Bas	sis of the report						
1.	res	This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed t the report since they do not contain amendments.):						
	Des	scription, pages:	•	•		•		
	1,3-	-17	as originally filed			•		-
	2		as received on		20/08/1999	with letter of	20/08/1	999
	Cla	ims, No.:					·.	
	1-2	7 _.	as originally filed				•	
	Dra	wings, sheets:						
	1/2,	.2/2	as originally filed					
				,				
2.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the can	cellation of:	*			
		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:					
		the drawings,	sheets:					
3.			een established as if beyond the disclosu			nts had not been	made, since th	ney have bee
						-		
	`			•				
4.	Add	litional observation	s, if necessary:	•				
			•				••	
				·				
		•						
ill.	Not	n-establishment o	f opinion with rega	ırd to novel	ty, inventive	step and indus	trial applicabil	ity
Th or	ie qu to be	estions whether the industrially applic	e claimed invention able have not been	appears to be examined in	oe novel, to in respect of:	volve an inventiv	ve step (to be r	on-obvious),
		the entire internat	ional application.		,			
	×	claims Nos. 1-27	in part.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/10685

h	e	~~		•	Ω
L	σι	-0	u	3	┏

×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-27 (for industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>):				
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
Ø	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-27 in part.				

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)		Claims Claims	1-27
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-27
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-27

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separat she t

SECTION III

Claims 1-27 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

SECTION V:

The present report solely concerns the use of the compound Tempol in accordance 1). with the worked examples, and the general idea underlying the application that is the use of nitroxide in the treatment of cancer. See also Box III (Sheets 1-2), and the International search report.

2). Prior art

The following documents (D) cited in the International search report are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1 = PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 36, no. 0, 1995, page 387

D2 = PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 38, no. 0, March 1977, page 193

D3 = PAACR ANNUAL MEETING, vol. 39, no. 0, March 1998, page 90

D4 = WO - A - 96 40127

Unless indicated otherwise reference is made to the relevant passages emphasized in the search report.

2.1 D3 is an intermediate document which represents the scientific disclosure of the subject- matter of the present application.

3). Novelty:

The subject-matter of the present claims 1-27 is considered novel.

The claims 1-27 relate to the use of nitroxide or a prodrug thereof in the treatment of cancer. D1 and D2 differ therefrom basically in that they describe cytotoxic effects of the presently preferred piperidine nitroxide Tempol against cancer cells in vitro.

4). Inventive step

The subject-matter of claims 1-27 is not considered inventive:

The present application relates to the prophylactic and therapeutic treatment of cancer in animals.

On the basis of D1 and D2, which show chemotherapeutic efficacy of Tempol in vitro, the problem of the present application could be to identify possible conditions bringing about an anticancer effect in vivo. As shown in the examples of the present application, the development of cancer could be prevented by continous oral prophylactic administration of Tempol. This particular finding is considered non-obvious from the prior art.

It is noted that the scope of the present claims includes therapeutic cancer treatment as well as various modes/schemes of administration. However, there is no indication in the application which justifies a generalisation of the working examples in this respect. Moreover it appears, that a skilled artisan would expect an anticancer effect of Tempol in vivo, eg., after administration of high doses, as the prior art does not differentiate between in vitro and in vivo effects of nitroxides, either. See page 2, line 18 - page 3, line 7 of the application.

5). Industrial applicability:

For the assessment of the present claims 1-27 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be

dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

SECTION VIII

6). It is apparently not clear from the description, which cancers originate from a defect of the p53 gene (claims 23,25,27).

turnorigenesis (Srivastava et al., Nature 348: 747-749 (1990)). The p53 protein also plays a role in the cellular response to DNA-damaging agents by facilitating a block in the G1 phase of the cell cycle following DNA damage, thereby providing time for repair of the DNA damage (Pietenpol et al., Nature 365: 17-18 (1993); and Kuerbitz et al., PNAS USA 89: 7491-7495 (1992)) or by causing apoptosis (Yonish-Rouach et al., Nature 352: 345-347 (1991)).

In order to enable the further study of the p53 gene, recombinant DNA techniques have been used to develop rodent models. In one model, the rodents are homozygous for mutant p53 alleles (p53 -/-), such that the p53 gene is disrupted or "knocked-out" (p53 -/-) and does not function, and the rodents are highly susceptible at an early age to a variety of tumors (Donehower et al., Nature 356: 251-221 (1992)). In another model, the rodents are heterozygous for wild-type and mutant p53 alleles (p53 +/-) and, although they develop tumors 10-20 months after birth, they live considerably longer than the homozygous mutant p53 rodents (Harvey et al., Nature/Genetics 5: 225-229 (1993)). Exposure of these rodents to carcinogens, such as dimethylnitrosamine, or whole body irradiation accelerates tumor formation (Harvey et al. (1993), supra; and Lee et al., Oncogene 12: 3731-3736 (1994)).

Nitroxides are stable compounds, which are low in molecular weight, metal-independent, nontoxic and nonallergenic, and are characterized by low reactivity with oxygen, high solubility in aqueous solutions, and the ability to cross cellular membranes. The lipophilicity of nitroxides can be controlled by the addition of various organic substituents, in order to facilitate the targeting of the nitroxides to specific organs or organelles.

Nitroxides have been shown to protect cells and animals against the untoward acute effects, such as cytotoxicity, of short-term exposure to lethal doses of free radicals and oxidative species, such as superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl radicals, and hydroperoxides, i.e., by functioning as antioxidants (U.S. Patent No. 5,462,946). In cell culture, nitroxides have been shown to sensitize hypoxic cells to ionizing radiation and, paradoxically, protect aerobic cells from ionizing radiation. Also in cell culture, nitroxides have been shown to protect cells against the acute cytotoxic affects of paraquat and anti-neoplastic agents. Tempol, a nitroxide, has been shown to be cytotoxic against neoplastic cell lines in vitro (Monti et al., PAACR, 36: 387 (1995), and Monti et al., PAACR, 38: 193 (1997)). In animals, nitroxides have

·20-08-1999

5

10

15

20

25

30